CLAIM AMENDMENTS

Claim Amendment Summary

Claims pending

Before this Amendment: Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17-21, 24,

26-27, 29-30, 33-35 and 37-43.

• After this Amendment: Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 13-14, 17-21, 24, 26-

27, 29-30, 33-35, and 37-43

Non-Elected, Canceled, or Withdrawn claims: None

Amended claims: 1, 17, and 33

New claims: None

Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

receiving a manifest defining first, and second, and third code assemblies

that are members of at least one application, wherein the manifest defines at

least one trusted application and application evidence for making a trust

decision;

evaluating the application evidence to determine if the at least one

application is trusted;

generating a first, and a second, and a third permission grant set for the

first, and the second, and the third code assembly, respectively, that are

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

EXCENTION The Susiness of IF " www.inetogras.com SIN XVI 9288

members of the at least one application if the application evidence satisfies at

least one condition for trusting the at least one application;

passing the permission grant to a run-time call stack;

calling the second code assembly by the first code assembly, the second

code assembly attempting access of a protected file; and

calling the third code assembly by the second code assembly, the third

code assembly attempting access of a protected file; and

calculating an intersection of the first and the second permission grant sets

to determine whether the access to the protected file is permitted.

2. (Previously Presented The method of claim 1 wherein the

manifest further defines a plurality of code assemblies, the method further

comprising evaluating application evidence for a group of applications and

generating a permission grant set for each code assembly that is a member of

the group of applications if the application evidence satisfies at least one

condition for trusting the group of applications.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein evaluating application

evidence is based at least in part on an XrML license.

4. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising evaluating

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

ACCONTRACTOR STATEMENT OF THE SECOND SECOND

application evidence at an application level and a code assembly level before trusting the at least one application.

5. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising evaluating application evidence at a group level, an application level, and a code assembly level before trusting the at least one application.

6. (Cancelled)

7. (Cancelled)

8. (**Previously Presented**) The method of claim 1 further comprising determining if the first and second code assemblies are members of the at least one application.

9. (Cancelled)

10. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein satisfying at least one trust condition is based at least in part on evidence provided with the at least one application.

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter EPOST The Business of F 18

11. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein satisfying at least one trust condition is based at least in part on evidence external to the at least one application.

12. (Cancelled)

13. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein satisfying at least one trust condition is based on evidence from user interaction.

14. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein satisfying at least one trust condition is based on evidence from evaluation of previous trust decisions.

15. (Cancelled)

16. (Cancelled)

17. (Currently Amended) A computer program product encoding a computer program for executing on a computer system a computer process, the computer process comprising:

receiving a manifest defining first, and second, and third code assemblies

that are members of at least one application, wherein the manifest defines at least one trusted application and application evidence for making a trust decision;

evaluating the application evidence to determine if the at least one application is trusted;

generating a first, and a second, and a third permission grant set for the first, and the second, and the third code assembly, respectively, that are members of the at least one application if the application evidence satisfies at least one condition for trusting the at least one application;

passing the permission grant to a run-time call stack;

calling the second code assembly by the first code assembly, the second code assembly attempting access of a protected file; and

calling the third code assembly by the second code assembly, the third code assembly attempting access of a protected file; and

calculating an intersection of the first and the second permission grant sets to determine whether the access to the protected file is permitted.

18. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the computer process further comprises the manifest further defining a plurality of code assemblies and evaluating application evidence for a group of applications and generating a permission grant set for each code

ECONOMIC The Susiness of 47 18

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter assembly that is a member of the group of applications if the application

evidence satisfies at least one condition for trusting the group of applications.

19. (Original) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the

computer process further comprises evaluating application evidence based at

least in part on an XrML license.

20. (Original) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the

computer process further comprises evaluating application evidence at an

application level and a code assembly level before trusting the at least one

application.

21. (Original) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the

computer process further comprises evaluating application evidence at a group

level, an application level, and a code assembly level before trusting the at least

one application.

22. (Cancelled)

23. (Cancelled)

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

ESTIMATE THE Susiness of IF 14

24. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the computer process further comprises determining if the first and second code assemblies are members of the at least one application.

(Cancelled) 25.

26. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the computer process is based at least in part on evidence provided with the at least one application.

27. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the computer process is based at least in part on evidence external to the at least one application.

28. (Cancelled)

(Previously Presented) 29. The computer program product of claim 17 wherein the computer process is based on evidence from user interaction.

(Previously Presented) 30. The computer program product of

> The Susiness of IP 18

claim 17 wherein the computer process is based on evidence from evaluation of previous trust decisions.

31. (Cancelled)

32. (Cancelled)

33. (Currently Amended) A system comprising:

a manifest defining first, and second, and third code assemblies that are

members of at least one application;

application evidence to determine whether the at least one application is

trusted;

a loader to load the first, and the second, and the third code assemblies

into a run-time call stack, with the first code assembly calling the second code

assembly, the second code assembly calling the third code assembly, with the

second third code assembly attempting access of a protected file; and

a policy manager to evaluate the application evidence relative to at least

one condition, wherein the policy manager generates a first, and second, and

third permission grant set for the first, and the second, and the third code

assembly, respectively, that are members of the at least one application if the

application evidence satisfies the at least one condition specified in a security

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

KYONYS The Stations of 17 "

policy specification for trusting the at least one application, wherein the security policy specification defines multiple policy levels, and wherein permissions are granted on a computer system based on the permission grant set, the policy manager further calculating an intersection of the first and the second permission grant sets to determine whether the access to the protected file <u>by</u>

34. (Original) The system of claim 33 further comprising an XrML program authorization module operatively associated with the policy manager for evaluating application evidence including at least one XrML license.

35. (Original) The system of claim 33 wherein the policy manager evaluates evidence at a group level, an application level, and a code assembly level before the at least one application is executed.

36. (Cancelled)

the third code assembly is permitted.

37. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 33 wherein the policy manager further determines if the first and second code assemblies are members of the at least one application.

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter EPO NIVES The Business of 12 th

38. (Original) The system of claim 33 wherein the application evidence is provided with the at least one application.

39. (Original) The system of claim 33 wherein the application

evidence is provided external to the at least one application.

40. (Original) The system of claim 33 wherein the application

evidence includes at least an XrML license.

41. (Original) The system of claim 33 wherein the application

evidence includes evidence provided via user interaction.

42. (Original) The system of claim 33 wherein the application

evidence includes evidence from the evaluation of previous trust decisions.

43. (Original) The system of claim 33 further comprising a security

policy specification defining at least one trust condition for an application

component, wherein the policy manager evaluates the at least one trust

-13-

condition in the security policy specification.

44-48. (Cancelled)

Serial No.: 10/705,756 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1809US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

EPOC NIVES The Business of IP 18 www.indops.com SIN NATIO